



REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 – EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1773

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit	: 1773	Customer No.:	035811
Examiner	: Sheeba Ahmed		
Serial No.	: 10/628,817		
Filed	: July 28, 2003		
Inventors	: Hideki Ozawa	Docket No.:	1259-03
	: Fumio Aoki		
Title	: ANTISTATIC FILM AND PROCESS	Confirmation No.:	5866
	: FOR ITS MANUFACTURE		

Dated: November 8, 2006

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 – EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1773

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is submitted in response to the October 18, 2006 Advisory Action.

The Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's helpful comments on the last page of the Advisory Action with respect to the amendments to the Specification. In particular, the Advisory Action states that: "The proposed amendments to the Specification introduce new matter and hence will not be entered." The Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments in no way introduce new matter to the Specification. The new amendments are made merely for clarification purposes so that the disclosure that is repeated throughout the Applicants' Specification is even more readily apparent to one skilled in the art.

The Advisory Action also specifically states that:

The Examiner maintains [sp] that the amendment adding that the antistatic film comprises a polyimide film having "no conductive ultrafine particles" is a negative limitation and any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure.

The Applicants respectfully submit that "no conductive ultrafine particles" highlighted in the Advisory Action is word for word disclosed in the original application as filed. That word for word

PHIL1\3794908.1

*Please enter.
12/24/06
hs*